

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,916	09/04/2003	Mihai Buretea	40-000810US	9096	
22798 75	90 05/06/2005	05/06/2005		EXAMINER	
QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C. P O BOX 458			WONG, ERIC K		
ALAMEDA, C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2883	2883	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/656,916	BURETEA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eric Wong	2883 ·			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	anuary 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	·			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-198 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-68 and 184-198 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 69-183 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 September 2003</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0304/0704</u> .	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/656,916 Page 2

Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 69-79, 96, 102, 131, 132, and 135 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by United States Patent Number 6,563,132 to Talroze et al.

As to claims 69, 96, 102, 131, 132 and 135, Talroze discloses in column 4, lines 6-24, a composite material comprising:

- A plurality of nanowires;
- A small molecule or molecular matrix or a matrix comprising at least one polymer (conductive polymer materials are disclosed), which small molecule or molecular matrix or components thereof are used to orient the nanowires.

As to claim 70, a composite material comprising one or more nanostructures and a polymeric matrix comprising a polysiloxane is disclosed (column 4, line 66).

As to claim 71, polydimethylsiloxane is commonly used in the art (disclosed in background of invention).

As to claim 72, superconducting nanowires in copolymerized polymers are disclosed.

As to claim 73, nanowires are disclosed.

As to claim 74, sodium metal dopants are disclosed.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,916 Page 3

Art Unit: 2883

As to claim 75, Table 1 appears to disclose various materials that are used for the nanostructures.

As to claim 76, low weight solvent materials are disclosed.

As to claim 77, the composition is shaped.

As to claim 78, the structure can be used in devices such as diodes (column 4).

As to claim 79, the polymer includes organic ions.

- 3. Claims 108, 116 and 122 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by United States Patent Application Publication 2002/0172820 to Majumdar et al.
 - Majumdar et al. discloses a composite material comprising one or more
 nanostructures with a conduction and valence band (Paragraph 222); and
 - A matrix comprising a second material comprising with a Type I band offset (paragraph 121 discloses conduction band offsets).

As to claim 116, a sheath (shell) is used.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 80-95, 97-101, 103-107, 109-115, 117-121, 123-130, 133-134, and 136-183 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Talroze.

Talroze discloses a nanowire structure organized in a matrix with electron holes and the ability to change the structure by opto-electronic means, however, Talroze fails to disclose the specific compositions and materials as claimed.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the materials and compositions as claimed, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. It is respectfully noted that it appears Applicant has not disclosed any criticality in the specific material options or compositions in Applicant's specification. Talroze does not limit the types of materials or compositions to be used. By merely selecting one material or composition over another is a general chemical engineering practice to provide optimum operability for the apparatus's intended use. Examiner's contention of this obvious choice in design can be overcome if Applicant establishes unexpected results by arranging the substrate in the location as claimed.

6. Claims 109-115, 117-121 and 123-130 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Majumdar et al. as applied to claim 108 above.

Majumdar et al. discloses a nanowire structure organized in a matrix with conduction and valence bands, however, Majumdar et al. fails to disclose the specific compositions and materials as claimed.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the materials and compositions as claimed, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its

suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. It is respectfully noted that it appears Applicant has not disclosed any criticality in the specific material options or compositions in Applicant's specification. Majumdar et al. does not limit the types of materials or compositions to be used. By merely selecting one material or composition over another is a general chemical engineering practice to provide optimum operability for the apparatus's intended use. Examiner's contention of this obvious choice in design can be overcome if Applicant establishes unexpected results by arranging the substrate in the location as claimed.

Page 5

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 03/12/2004 and 07/12/2004 have been considered by the examiner and made of record (note the attached copy of form PTO-1449).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-2363. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830AM - 430PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,916

Art Unit: 2883

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EW

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800